





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,823	01/24/2001	Kurt R. Zimmerman	A-68861/AJT/LM	5178
7.	590 05/16/2003			
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400 Four Embarcadero Center			EXAMINER	
			ISSING, GREGORY C	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	09/769,823	ZIMMERMAN ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregory C. Issing	3662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	· ·			
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does	, , , , ,				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	or (3) a timely filed Request for			
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		se the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
		Gregory C. Issing			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3662			

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 14